

Report from Google Gemini 3/26/26

PROMPT: Has the US Government or Presidential Administrations ever dictated to Scouting America, formerly the Boy Scouts of America, specific program requirements for merit badges to continue the US Support of the organization via its 1916 US Congressional Charter signed by Woodrow Wilson (see 36 U.S.C. §§ 30901-08) before Pete Hegseth and the Trump Administration?

Based on historical records and recent developments as of March 2026, the short answer is **no**. Prior to the actions of the second Trump Administration and Secretary of War (Defense) Pete Hegseth in early 2026, there is no historical precedent for the U.S. Government or a Presidential Administration "dictating" specific program requirements or the creation/removal of merit badges for Scouting America (formerly the Boy Scouts of America).

While the relationship between the organization and the government is unique, it has historically been characterized by **cooperation** rather than **curriculum mandate**.

1. The Historical Relationship (1916–2024)

The 1916 U.S. Congressional Charter (**36 U.S.C. §§ 30901-08**) was primarily designed to protect the BSA's name and insignia and to recognize it as a patriotic organization.

- **Administrative Independence:** While the Charter requires an annual report to Congress, Section 30903 explicitly places governance in the hands of the **Executive Board**, not the President or Congress.
- **Honorary Role:** Since William Howard Taft, the sitting U.S. President has served as the "Honorary President" of /the BSA. This role was traditionally symbolic—signing Eagle Scout certificates, issuing procla/mations, and occasionally speaking at National Jamborees.
- **War Effort Cooperation:** During WWI and WWII, the government *requested* help from Scouts (e.g., selling Liberty Bonds, collecting scrap rubber). The BSA created "Emergency Service" programs and badges (like *Thrift* or *Food Production*) in response, but these were developed internally by the BSA to support the national interest, not mandated by the White House as a condition of their charter.

2. Legal Precedents and Challenges

Historically, the U.S. government has avoided interfering with the BSA's "expressive message" or advancement requirements because the organization is a private 501(c)(3) nonprofit.

- **BSA v. Dale (2000):** The Supreme Court affirmed that the BSA has a First Amendment right to set its own membership and leadership standards, independent of government interference.

- **The 2004-2005 DoD Shift:** Under the Bush Administration, the Department of Defense (DoD) ended the direct *sponsorship* of Scouting units on military bases following an ACLU lawsuit regarding the Establishment Clause (religion in scouting). However, the government did **not** attempt to change the merit badge requirements or the Scout Oath during this transition.

3. The 2026 Shift: Hegseth and the Trump Administration

The events of early 2026 represent a major departure from this 110-year tradition. In February 2026, Secretary Pete Hegseth leveraged **Executive Order 14173** (restoring "merit-based" opportunity) to pressure Scouting America into the following changes:

- **Immediate Discontinuation:** The "Citizenship in Society" merit badge (a mandatory Eagle requirement focused on diversity and inclusion) was discontinued effective February 27, 2026—the first time a merit badge was ever removed due to direct government pressure.
- **Program Mandates:** The introduction of a new "Military Service" merit badge and the reversal of policies regarding gender identity were framed as requirements to maintain DoD "partnership" (including access to military bases and equipment).

Summary Comparison

Feature	Historical Precedent (1916–2024)	Hegseth/Trump Admin (2026)
Curriculum Control	Internally managed by the BSA National Council.	Dictated via Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).
Merit Badge Policy	Badges added/retired based on societal trends.	"Citizenship in Society" removed by govt demand.
Charter Support	Support viewed as a recognition of service.	Support used as leverage for policy compliance.

Presidential Role	Symbolic/Honorary.	Directive/Active Oversight.
--------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Would you like me to look into the specific legal arguments used by the Pentagon to justify these changes under the 1916 Charter?